3202 Form Letter D 4-7 RECEIVED

NOV 12 2019

From: Sent: Barbara Goodman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sunday, November 10, 2019 7:03 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

I Support The New Overtime Rule

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

IRRC Commissioners.

I am writing to express my support for the regulation proposed by the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry to restore overtime pay for an estimated 205,000 additional workers by phasing in a requirement that Pennsylvania salaried workers earning below \$45,500 automatically be eligible for overtime.

For decades after the passage of federal and state laws on overtime it was accepted that moderately-paid salaried workers in the United States and Pennsylvania should receive overtime pay.

At one point, more than six out of 10 full-time salaried workers automatically received overtime pay or they could go home after a 40-hour work week. As a result of a failure to adequately update the federal and Pennsylvania salary levels below which all executive, administration and professional workers automatically receive overtime pay, fewer than one in 10 full-time salaried workers was automatically eligible for overtime before a recent federal change. Even after that change only 15% of full-time salaried workers will be eligible based on their pay.

In sum, the other states pursuing a higher state overtime threshold aim in four cases to exceed the Obama threshold and in two cases to match it. This observation leads to one reservation we have about that final proposed Wolf threshold: it's too low. The Obama threshold was, after all, a very moderate benchmark pegged to the 40th percentile of weekly earnings in the nation's lowest-wage Southern region—a far cry from 1970s situation with more than 60% of full-time salaried workers automatically eligible for overtime. Governor Wolf's original proposed rule was near, or slightly below, the Obama threshold—the 30th percentile of the Northeast region. The Wolf proposed final rule is \$45,500—substantially below the Obama threshold—and there are questions about whether the rule's updating every three years will adequately keep pace with salaried wages. In sum, the Wolf final rule is a compromise of a compromise that partly accommodates a reality in which many employers have blatantly disregarded the law, cheated hundreds of thousands of Pennsylvania workers of overtime pay or time with their family and gotten away with it. Meanwhile, law-abiding businesses that genuinely treat their workers as their greatest asset, such as Altoona-based Sheetz, show that paying salaried workers fairly can enhance productivity and profitability.

In closing, we respectfully suggest that Governor Wolf reconsider the decision to shift away from the 30th percentile of the NE region which he originally proposed. Even at its less adequate level, the Wolf proposed final rule is a vast improvement on the new federal rule. We thus applaud Gov. Wolf for his effort make us the third state economy a little less rigged against lower-paid salaried workers.

Barbara Goodman bgoodman1101@yahoo.com 157 n highland cir Bala Cynwyd , Pennsylvania 19004

RECEIVED

NUV 12 ZUIS

From: Sent: Manuel Rosaldo <mxr1225@psu.edu> Saturday, November 9, 2019 3:44 PM

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

To: Subject:

I Support The New Overtime Rule

IRRC

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

IRRC Commissioners,

I am writing to express my support for the regulation proposed by the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry to restore overtime pay for an estimated 205,000 additional workers by phasing in a requirement that Pennsylvania salaried workers earning below \$45,500 automatically be eligible for overtime.

For decades after the passage of federal and state laws on overtime it was accepted that moderately-paid salaried workers in the United States and Pennsylvania should receive overtime pay.

At one point, more than six out of 10 full-time salaried workers automatically received overtime pay or they could go home after a 40-hour work week. As a result of a failure to adequately update the federal and Pennsylvania salary levels below which all executive, administration and professional workers automatically receive overtime pay, fewer than one in 10 full-time salaried workers was automatically eligible for overtime before a recent federal change. Even after that change only 15% of full-time salaried workers will be eligible based on their pay.

In sum, the other states pursuing a higher state overtime threshold aim in four cases to exceed the Obama threshold and in two cases to match it. This observation leads to one reservation we have about that final proposed Wolf threshold: it's too low. The Obama threshold was, after all, a very moderate benchmark pegged to the 40th percentile of weekly earnings in the nation's lowest-wage Southern region—a far cry from 1970s situation with more than 60% of full-time salaried workers automatically eligible for overtime. Governor Wolf's original proposed rule was near, or slightly below, the Obama threshold—the 30th percentile of the Northeast region. The Wolf proposed final rule is \$45,500—substantially below the Obama threshold—and there are questions about whether the rule's updating every three years will adequately keep pace with salaried wages. In sum, the Wolf final rule is a compromise of a compromise that partly accommodates a reality in which many employers have blatantly disregarded the law, cheated hundreds of thousands of Pennsylvania workers of overtime pay or time with their family and gotten away with it. Meanwhile, law-abiding businesses that genuinely treat their workers as their greatest asset, such as Altoona-based Sheetz, show that paying salaried workers fairly can enhance productivity and profitability.

In closing, we respectfully suggest that Governor Wolf reconsider the decision to shift away from the 30th percentile of the NE region which he originally proposed. Even at its less adequate level, the Wolf proposed final rule is a vast improvement on the new federal rule. We thus applaud Gov. Wolf for his effort make us the third state economy a little less rigged against lower-paid salaried workers.

Manuel Rosaldo mxr1225@psu.edu 249 Homan Ave State College, Pennsylvania 16801

From: Sent: Jon Eich <info@email.actionnetwork.org> Saturday, November 9, 2019 10:13 AM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

I Support The New Overtime Rule

NOV 12 2019

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

IRRC Commissioners,

I am writing to express my support for the regulation proposed by the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry to restore overtime pay for an estimated 205,000 additional workers by phasing in a requirement that Pennsylvania salaried workers earning below \$45,500 automatically be eligible for overtime.

For decades after the passage of federal and state laws on overtime it was accepted that moderately-paid salaried workers in the United States and Pennsylvania should receive overtime pay.

At one point, more than six out of 10 full-time salaried workers automatically received overtime pay or they could go home after a 40-hour work week. As a result of a failure to adequately update the federal and Pennsylvania salary levels below which all executive, administration and professional workers automatically receive overtime pay, fewer than one in 10 full-time salaried workers was automatically eligible for overtime before a recent federal change. Even after that change only 15% of full-time salaried workers will be eligible based on their pay.

In sum, the other states pursuing a higher state overtime threshold aim in four cases to exceed the Obama threshold and in two cases to match it. This observation leads to one reservation we have about that final proposed Wolf threshold: it's too low. The Obama threshold was, after all, a very moderate benchmark pegged to the 40th percentile of weekly earnings in the nation's lowest-wage Southern region—a far cry from 1970s situation with more than 60% of full-time salaried workers automatically eligible for overtime. Governor Wolf's original proposed rule was near, or slightly below, the Obama threshold—the 30th percentile of the Northeast region. The Wolf proposed final rule is \$45,500—substantially below the Obama threshold—and there are questions about whether the rule's updating every three years will adequately keep pace with salaried wages. In sum, the Wolf final rule is a compromise of a compromise that partly accommodates a reality in which many employers have blatantly disregarded the law, cheated hundreds of thousands of Pennsylvania workers of overtime pay or time with their family and gotten away with it. Meanwhile, law-abiding businesses that genuinely treat their workers as their greatest asset, such as Altoona-based Sheetz, show that paying salaried workers fairly can enhance productivity and profitability.

In closing, we respectfully suggest that Governor Wolf reconsider the decision to shift away from the 30th percentile of the NE region which he originally proposed. Even at its less adequate level, the Wolf proposed final rule is a vast improvement on the new federal rule. We thus applaud Gov. Wolf for his effort make us the third state economy a little less rigged against lower-paid salaried workers.

Jon Eich
JonEich@aol.com
930 Hart Circle
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

From:

John Meyerson <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent:

Friday, November 8, 2019 6:58 PM

To:

IRRC

Subject:

I Support The New Overtime Rule

RECLIVED

NOV 12 2019

Independent Regulatory Review Commission

CAUTION: **EXTERNAL SENDER** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

IRRC Commissioners.

I am writing to express my support for the regulation proposed by the Pennsylvania Department of Labor and Industry to restore overtime pay for an estimated 205,000 additional workers by phasing in a requirement that Pennsylvania salaried workers earning below \$45,500 automatically be eligible for overtime.

For decades after the passage of federal and state laws on overtime it was accepted that moderately-paid salaried workers in the United States and Pennsylvania should receive overtime pay.

At one point, more than six out of 10 full-time salaried workers automatically received overtime pay or they could go home after a 40-hour work week. As a result of a failure to adequately update the federal and Pennsylvania salary levels below which all executive, administration and professional workers automatically receive overtime pay, fewer than one in 10 full-time salaried workers was automatically eligible for overtime before a recent federal change. Even after that change only 15% of full-time salaried workers will be eligible based on their pay.

In sum, the other states pursuing a higher state overtime threshold aim in four cases to exceed the Obama threshold and in two cases to match it. This observation leads to one reservation we have about that final proposed Wolf threshold: it's too low. The Obama threshold was, after all, a very moderate benchmark pegged to the 40th percentile of weekly earnings in the nation's lowest-wage Southern region—a far cry from 1970s situation with more than 60% of full-time salaried workers automatically eligible for overtime. Governor Wolf's original proposed rule was near, or slightly below, the Obama threshold—the 30th percentile of the Northeast region. The Wolf proposed final rule is \$45,500—substantially below the Obama threshold—and there are questions about whether the rule's updating every three years will adequately keep pace with salaried wages. In sum, the Wolf final rule is a compromise of a compromise that partly accommodates a reality in which many employers have blatantly disregarded the law, cheated hundreds of thousands of Pennsylvania workers of overtime pay or time with their family and gotten away with it. Meanwhile, law-abiding businesses that genuinely treat their workers as their greatest asset, such as Altoona-based Sheetz, show that paying salaried workers fairly can enhance productivity and profitability.

In closing, we respectfully suggest that Governor Wolf reconsider the decision to shift away from the 30th percentile of the NE region which he originally proposed. Even at its less adequate level, the Wolf proposed final rule is a vast improvement on the new federal rule. We thus applied Gov. Wolf for his effort make us the third state economy a little less rigged against lower-paid salaried workers.

John Meyerson jmeyerson1776@gmail.com 508 W Mount Airy Ave Philadelphia , Pennsylvania 19119